

Submission made by Julia Farr Association

Australian Law Reform Commission Family Violence Inquiry

ABN: 16 464 890 778

104 Greenhill Road Unley SA 5061

PO Box 701 Unley Business Centre SA 5061

t: (08) 8373 8333 f: (08) 8373 8373

e: admin@juliafarr.org.au w: www.juliafarr.org.au

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Julia Farr Association makes this submission to the Australian Law Reform Commission's Family Violence Inquiry. Our submission includes commentary on some of the questions and proposals highlighted in the Consultation Paper.

The Julia Farr Association and its predecessor organisations have been involved with the disability community for 130 years. The Julia Farr Association is an independent, non-government entity based in South Australia that fosters innovation, shares useful information, and promotes policy and practice that support people living with disability to access the good things in life. We are not a service provider – we deliver research, evaluation and information services that are anchored upon the stories shared by people living with disability, family members and other supporters. As such, we feel we are in a good position to offer comment and analysis without vested interest.

2.0 OPENING COMMENTS

The Julia Farr Association supports the Australian Law Reform Commission's inquiry into family violence which will "consider what, if any, improvements could be made to relevant legal frameworks to protect the safety of women and children". However, the Julia Farr Association believes that this inquiry also needs to:

- Include consideration of the particular vulnerability of people living with disability (including adult men) to family violence (and mindful of the prevalence of disability in Australia)²;
- Acknowledge that informal supporters such as family are themselves vulnerable to a loss of judgement and control leading to violence, in situations where society's support systems are failing people living with disability and their families³;
- Consider a common national approach that takes a preventative, proactive, early approach to situations where such families are at risk of violence, and that such legislation connects well with disability-specific legislation and support arrangements.

Attached to this submission is Julia Farr Association's briefing paper titled *Family members causing harm to their loved ones living with disability* which "explores some of the challenges and issues families face in regards to providing support for loved ones living with disability" and raises a range of key themes for consideration. We invite the Australian Law Reform Commission to take note of these considerations as part of this

_

¹ Australian Law Reform Commission 2010, 'About the inquiry', p. 1, viewed 1 June 2010, http://www.alrc.gov.au/inquiries/current/family-violence/about.html.

² AIHW 2009, Australia's welfare 2009, Cat. No. AUS 117, AIHW, Canberra.

³ Julia Farr Association 2009, *Briefing: Family members causing harm to their loved ones living with disability*, Julia Farr Association, Unley, South Australia.

⁴ Ibid, p. 1.

inquiry, as we believe addressing these will help reduce the likelihood of violence experienced by people living with disability within their families. The considerations include:

- Families accessing sufficient resources to get through their daily lives
 - What can Government and local services do to grow the capacity of communities to be inclusive of people living with disability?
 - Can Government demonstrate that it is spending public funds in ways that are delivering genuine outcomes for people (ie effective) and in ways that are not wasteful (ie efficient)?
 - o If we accept that people living with disability and family members are the best experts in understanding their own needs and aspirations, is Government giving them the opportunity to buy their own services?
- Families accessing information about the support that is available
 - Can Government and local services demonstrate that it is as easy as possible for people to access the information they need?
 - Have Government and local services successfully built networks with, and supplied information to, other people who may be involved with people living with disability and family members?
- Families growing their capacity to be successful as families
 - How are Government and local services helping families to link up with other families in similar situations?
 - o Is Government offering planning support to every family that may need it?
- Family members being supported to see a future for their loved one after they have gone
 - Do Government and local services assist families to undertake authentic person-centred planning?
- Increasing numbers of family members ageing who are caring for a loved one living with disability in the family home
 - How good is the information that Government has about those ageing family members who are providing primary support to a person living with disability?
 - How are Government and local services setting aside time and resources to pro-actively plan for the future needs of these families and the person living with disability?

3.0 REPSONSE TO INQUIRY QUESTIONS AND PROPOSALS

Question 4-1

People living with disability can be particularly vulnerable to exploitation and violence due to factors such as impaired decision-making and being reliant on others to provide the support they need.

The Julia Farr Association support the definition of family violence proposed in **Question 4-1** which highlights that 'family violence is violent or threatening behaviour or any other forms of behaviour that coerces, controls or dominates a family member or causes that family member to be fearful'. We believe this definition acknowledges how family violence can result from situations where a person is increasingly dependent on support from family or others informal supporters, and is reflected in our own findings⁵.

Proposal 4-4

The Julia Farr Association supports *Proposal 4-4* which suggests that family violence legislation should expressly acknowledge that economic abuse is a form of family violence.

People living with disability can be particularly at risk of having their economic resources abused due to being dependent on others, having impaired decision-making capacity and not being afforded sufficient control of their finances by family members, proxies, or service systems.

Proposal 4-5 and Proposal 4-22

The Julia Farr Association agrees with *Proposal 4-5* which suggests that family violence legislation provides specific examples of situations where people living with disability can experience emotional and psychological abuse, harassment or threats.

We also support *Proposal 4-22* which highlights that family violence legislation needs to provide details of the nature, features and dynamics of family violence, and highlight the impact family violence can have on people living with disability and their families.

People living with disability and family members are more vulnerable to abuse and family violence as they are often placed in passive recipient roles due to being dependent on others to provide the supports they need. This vulnerability can be further heightened by the fact that people living with disability may not speak up about abusive situations due to the fear of being punished in some way⁵.

The importance of highlighting situations that can result in people living with disability experiencing family violence is further supported by the Commissions in the Consultation Paper, who suggest that family violence legislation could refer to "the particular problems faced by victims with disabilities because of their dependence on others for support, the

-

⁵ Julia Farr Association 2008, *Why is it so hard to speak up and be heard? Views from the Loop Conference 2007*, Julia Farr Association, Unley, South Australia.

compounding effect of their disability on their lack of power and control in a relationship, and the fact that their disability is exploited by their abusers"⁶.

Proposal 4-21

The Julia Farr Association supports *Proposal 4-21* and further asserts that state and territory family violence legislation contains guiding principles which expressly refer to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities⁷. In Article 16 of the UN Disability Convention it highlights that "State Parties shall put in place effective legislation and policies, including women- and child-focused legislation and policies, to ensure that instances of exploitation, violence and abuse against person with disabilities are identified, investigated and, where appropriate, prosecuted"⁸. Responding to this will ensure that the fundamental rights of people living with disability are protected and promoted.

Proposal 19-1

The Julia Farr Association agrees with **Proposal 19-1** which suggests that state and territory governments should establish and develop integrated responses to family violence which include a range of elements. However, we assert that integrated responses to family violence should include an additional element:

(f) Emphasis and provision of proactive early support that builds the functional capacity of families where there is a person living with disability.

Proposal 19-2

The Julia Farr Association supports **Proposal 19-2** which suggests that state and territory governments should ensure people have access to victim support workers and lawyers at family violence-related court proceedings and when police are called out to family violence incidents.

We further assert that governments also need to ensure there is adequate provision of augmented victim support for people living with disability who may require additional communication, advocacy and decision-making support because of their circumstances.

4.0 FEEDBACK REGARDING THE WORDING OF YOUR INQUIRY DOCUMENTS

It is concerning to note that in the *List of Questions and Proposals* document which totals 52 pages and over 100 questions and proposals, there are only two specific references to people living with disability. We assume that this dearth of recognition of people living with disability within families is similarly reflected in *Family Violence: Improving Legal Frameworks Consultation Paper*.

⁸ Ibid, p. 12.

⁶ Australian Law Reform Commission 2010, *Family violence: Improving legal frameworks*, ALRC, Sydney NSW, p. 214, http://www.alrc.gov.au/inquiries/current/family-violence/CPS1/CollatedSummaryPaper.pdf.

⁷ United Nations n.d., Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities and optional protocol, <http://www.un.org/disabilities/documents/convention/convoptprot-e.pdf>.

Given that many Australian families include at least one family member with heightened vulnerability due to disability, we believe that this should be reflected in the language within the Inquiry documentation, otherwise there is a risk that the resulting Inquiry recommendations may not sufficiently cover the safeguards and remedies needed for family members living with disability who are vulnerable to family abuse/violence.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Julia Farr Association asserts that attending to the areas highlighted in this submission will enable state and territory family violence legislation to further protect and promote the safety and rights of people living with disability within families who can be particularly vulnerable to being exploited and abused.

For further information about this submission, please contact:

Robbi Williams Chief Executive Officer Julia Farr Association

Ph: 08 8373 8333

Email: admin@juliafarr.org.au.

www.juliafarr.org.au admin@juliafarr.org.au 104 Greenhill Road, UNLEY SA 5061

PO Box 701 Unley Business Centre SA 5061

Tel: 8373 8300: Fax: (08) 8373 8373 TTY: (08) 8373 8322



Not for public use without written permission from Julia Farr Association

Briefing

Family members causing harm to their loved ones living with disability

PURPOSE

The purpose of this briefing paper is to help inform people about the issues that may be relevant to situations where family members harm, or consider causing harm to, a family member living with disability.

Our hope is that this briefing can raise awareness and so help reduce such occurrences from happening in the future.

Note that this briefing is in no way designed to excuse the act of intentionally harming a loved one. The Julia Farr Association (JFA) does not condone unlawful acts. JFA recognises that many families are under pressure because of some of the issues identified in this briefing paper, and yet do not resort to harming their loved ones.

SUMMARY

This briefing paper explores some of the challenges and issues families face in regards to providing support for loved ones living with disability. The paper highlights some of the possible reasons why family members are driven to extreme measures, such as harming their loved one. Some possible underlying factors are identified through researching specific cases where family members have caused harm to their loved one or have thought about doing so. Some key themes are raised for consideration to encourage further examination into why such events occur and to think about ways families and their loved ones living with disability can receive greater support.

BACKGROUND

In a recent court case in Adelaide, a court heard allegations that an elderly father shot his son living with disability so no one else would have to bear the burden of his care (Edwards 2009; McGregor 2009; Fewster 2008). This case, however resolved, raises the serious social issue of family members harming their loved ones living with disability. No comment or implication is made or intended with respect to the circumstances of any particular case.

JFA felt it was important to explore some of the possible reasons why family members would consider causing harm to their loved ones. We examined the available information on a number of cases involving family members harming or threatening to harm their son or daughter living with disability. Using both Australian and New Zealand media and literature sources we identified six cases that have occurred over the past ten years.

In so doing, JFA identified some possible key factors which we felt needed to be highlighted and considered.

KEY FACTORS

In examining the available reports, there appears to be a number of possible factors that placed families in a position where they thought about causing harm to their loved ones.

1. Families attempting for years to get the support they needed, but with little success.

 In one case a family had been turned down by eight organisations due to the complex needs of their daughter (Dekker 1998). In another case a family could only secure three hours out of the recommended 20 hours support they required (*The Sydney Morning Herald* 2004).

2. Ageing parents being concerned about the future their loved one will have when they are gone (Elliott 1999).

 It is estimated that approximately 55,600 people living with disability and aged over 30 are living at home with their parents who are aged over 70 (National Carers Coalition 2005).

3. Families not being given sufficient support upon learning that their child had a disability.

 A baby was killed by her father in a case where the family was not given immediate support after their baby's 'diagnosis' but were instead sent home in a taxi (*One News* 2004).

4. Families being concerned about the lack of appropriate accommodation available for their loved ones.

 In one case parents aged over 65 had been waiting more than three years for suitable permanent accommodation for their son (Elliott 1999). In another case a loved one was in supported accommodation and had frequently been verbally and physically abused by staff and residents (Jacobsen 2007).

In the cases we looked at, where family members have caused harm to their loved ones and have gone through court proceedings, it was deemed that the family member had diminished responsibility due to the pressures and suffering they were experiencing resulting in receiving a reduced sentence (Jacobsen 2007; *One News* 2004).

Although the factors mentioned above are based on specific situations, they do highlight some of the pressures family members are placed under within the community. With increasing numbers of ageing parents supporting their loved one living with disability in Australia, services and communities need to have regard for these factors.

Below are some themes to consider, to help reduce the likelihood of such occurrences in the future.

THEMES FOR CONSIDERATION

1. Families accessing sufficient resources to get through their daily lives

- o JFA believes that there will always be some limits on the amount of public resources available for people living with disability. Part of the reason for this is that there will always be competing demands for the public dollar. Another part of the reason is that money cannot buy everything in life, for example a genuine sense of belonging within the community, intimate and loving relationships, a fulfilling job, and so on.
- o If it is accepted that resources are and always will be finite, then it is important that the available funds are used well.

Questions to Ask

1) What can Government and local services do to grow the capacity of communities to be inclusive of people living with disability? This is about being 'good neighbours', and there needs to be thought about how this is initiated because it may not happen spontaneously if local people are under-informed or mis-informed about people who happen to live with disability.

- 2) Can Government demonstrate that it is spending public funds in ways that are delivering genuine outcomes for people (ie effective) and in ways that are not wasteful (ie efficient)? This will help ensure that the available resources have maximum impact.
- 3) If we accept that people living with disability and family members are the best experts in understanding their own needs and aspirations, is Government giving them the opportunity to buy their own services? 'Individual Funding' is increasingly being adopted elsewhere eg the United Kingdom, and significant gains are being reported. More information on Individual Funding can be obtained from the following link http://www.juliafarr.org.au/individualised_funding.asp.

2. Families accessing information about the support that is available

 JFA believes that it is likely, for a variety of reasons, that not all people living with disability and their families have access to information about the support that is available.

Questions to Ask

- 4) Can Government and local services demonstrate that it is as easy as possible for people to access the information they need? This can include online material and printed material, in a variety of formats including visual, audio and touch formats, and at a variety of community locations.
- 5) Have Government and local services successfully built networks with, and supplied information to, other people who may be involved with people living with disability and family members? Such people could include GPs, other primary healthcare practitioners, and local community leaders.

3. Families growing their capacity to be successful as families

- JFA firmly believes that successful families come in all shapes and sizes, and of course includes families where there is a family member living with disability. It is not helpful to assume that a family must struggle simply because a family member lives with disability.
- All families learn together and grow together. Families that include a
 person living with disability may at times need assistance on that
 journey, such as through information and planning support.

Questions to Ask

- 6) How are Government and local services helping families to link up with other families in similar situations?
- 7) Is Government offering planning support to every family that may need it?

4. Family members being supported to see a future for their loved one after they have gone

- JFA recognises that some family members may struggle to see a future for their loved one when they have gone, perhaps because they have had difficulty seeking or getting assistance in the past, and cannot envisage anyone else taking on the primary support role once they are gone.
- JFA believes that families should be assisted to plan for the future with hope. There are a number of different methodologies available, but of paramount importance is ensuring that families can see a positive, attainable and sustainable future for their loved one.
- Person-centred planning is one approach that focuses on people living with disability and their families being in control of making decisions about what they want to do and what support is required. Person-centred planning 'helps build the person's place in the community and helps the community to welcome them. It is not just about services, and reflects what is possible, not just what is available' (Sanderson & Routledge n.d., p. 6). More information on person-centred planning can be obtained from the following link -

http://www.helensandersonassociates.co.uk/PDFs/WHAT%20IS%20PERSON%20CENTRED%20PLANNING.pdf.

Questions to Ask

9) Do Government and local services assist families to undertake authentic person-centred planning? This can help families to plan a decent life for their family member living with disability, and which also assists the family to be successful.

5. Increasing numbers of family members ageing who are caring for a loved one living with disability in the family home

- o It is possible that there are increasing numbers of family members in Australia who are aged over 70 supporting their loved ones living with disability at home who are aged over 30. Their increasing demands on services can be predicted in line with the ageing of the primary support person.
- It is also possible that Government and local services are not adequately attending to this, because of more pressing demands on resources from people who are in more immediately fragile situations or in crisis.
- As a result, it is possible that many of these ageing families are not known, or not being adequately planned for, by Government.

Questions to Ask

- 9) How good is the information that Government has about those ageing family members who are providing primary support to a person living with disability?
- 10) How are Government and local services setting aside time and resources to pro-actively plan for the future needs of these families and the person living with disability?

CONCLUDING REMARKS

As a society, we must do everything we can to help ensure that our communities are inclusive and supportive, where people can see a positive future for themselves and their loved ones.

We hope that the contents of this paper, and the questions raised, will help people who are under pressure to reclaim hope in their lives.

DISCLAIMER

This paper is for information purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon by readers as advice in any particular matter.

Information is provided for convenience only and may not remain current. The availability of such information in this paper should not be interpreted as any endorsement, approval, recommendation, or preference by Julia Farr Association. Further, to the extent permitted by law, the Julia Farr Association has no responsibility or liability for or relating to third party feedback.

The Julia Farr Association makes no representation or warranty as to the reliability, accuracy, or completeness of the information contained in this paper.

Unless otherwise stated, the Julia Farr Association has no legal relationship with organisations referred to in this paper and has no control of or rights in the websites operated by those organisations.

The Julia Farr Association may, from time to time, update the content of this paper without notice. The Julia Farr Association does not undertake to keep this paper updated and is not liable to you or anyone else if errors occur in the information in this paper or if that information is not up to date.

For further information please contact;

Robbi Williams
Chief Executive
Julia Farr Association
0401 123 426

REFERENCES

- Dekker, M 1998, 'Light sentencing of New Zealand mother who killed autistic daughter expected', *InternAUT News*, 31 July, viewed 22 February 2008, < http://spidernet.nl/~martijn_dekker/internaut/news/9807/30.casey.spml.
- Edwards, V 2009, 'Father shot son so he'd be no burden', electronic version, *The Australian*, 26 June, viewed 26 June 2009,
 - http://www.theaustralian.news.com.au/story/0,,25691954-5006787,00.html.
- Elliott, H 1999, 'His parents' greatest concern', electronic version, *Australian Coalition* '99, edn 9,
 - http://home.vicnet.net.au/~ac99/newsletter/Update9/update9.pdf.
- Fewster, S 2008, 'Father charged. Shooting of handicapped son. 'What's he going to do when I'm gone?", *The Advertiser,* 16 February, p. 5.
- Jacobsen, G 2007, 'Mercy killing parents 'need help'', *The Sydney Morning Herald*, 4 April, viewed 18 February 2008, http://www.smh.com.au/news/national/mercy-killing-parents-need-help/2007/04/04/1175366288839.html.
- McGregor, K 2009, 'Psychotic father not guilty of murder', *The Advertiser,* 26 June, p. 13.
- National Carers Coalition 2005, 'Walk a mile in my shoes. National Carers Coalition. Submission to COAG for an urgent review of the CSTDA in delivery of effective services to people with disabilities aged 0-65 and their unpaid family carers'. Support needed for carers and the disabled', electronic version, *National Carers Coalition*, http://www.carers.net.au/COAGSubmission11-05%5B1%5D.pdf>.
- One News 2004, 'Death wasn't euthanasia, says lawyer', One News, 20 November, viewed 15 February 2008,
 - http://tvnz.co.nz/view/news national story skin/459875?format=html>.
- Sanderson, H & Routledge, M n.d., 'What is person centred planning?', electronic version, *Helen Sanderson Associates*,
 - http://www.helensandersonassociates.co.uk/PDFs/WHAT%20IS%20PERSON%20CENTRED%20PLANNING.pdf.
- The Sydney Morning Herald 2004, 'When love is not enough', The Sydney Morning Herald, 1 June, viewed 18 February 2008,
 - http://www.smh.com.au/articles/2004/05/31/1085855494917.html.